

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/701,089	11/04/2003	Richard Marion Czerwiec	135516CNT	4431
24587 ALCATEL US	7590 06/28/200°	7	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			CHERY, DADY	
3400 W. PLAI PLANO, TX 7	NO PARKWAY, MS LI 15075	EGL2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
				·
·			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/701,089	CZERWIEC ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Dady Chery	2616
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become AB ANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 Not</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the practice of	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims	,	
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	
S. Patent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/701,089 Page 2

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Application/Control Number: 10/701,089

Art Unit: 2616

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frankel et al. (US Patent 5,187,706 hereinafter Frankel) in the view of Lee (US Patent 5,661,779, hereinafter Lee).

Frankel discloses a method for a system including a encoder (Fig. 1) each for receiving a first signal and encoding to generate a respective second signal for sending to a respective subscriber. (Col. 3, lines 12-21) The encoder (101) receives a first signal (101) and generates a respective second signals (107,108), the method comprising:

Frankel fails to teach:

receiving, in each encoder, the first signal from a first source, while testing a signal path between the second source and the encoders; and subsequently a second receiving step of receiving the first signal from a second source.

However, Lee teaches a method (Fig. 1B) of monitoring a path between an encoder (112) and a first and second source while receiving a first signal and receiving the first signal from a first source (Col. 3, lines 30 -65).

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the method teaching by Lee into the method discloses by Frankel for the purpose of establishing an alternative communications path to any of the responder circuits (Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/701,089

Art Unit: 2616

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dady Chery whose telephone number is 571-270-1207.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 8 am - 4 pm ESt.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ricky Q. Ngo can be reached on 571-272-3139. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

0.6/15/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4